

# ON A CERTAIN IDEAL OF KÜLSHAMMER IN THE CENTRE OF A GROUP ALGEBRA

JOHN MURRAY

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $F$  be a splitting field of characteristic  $p > 0$ . We show that  $I^2 = E_0$ , where  $I$  is a certain ideal of the centre  $Z$  of  $FG$ , and  $E_0$  is the span of the block idempotents of defect zero.

Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $F$  be a field of characteristic  $p$ . We shall assume that  $F$  is a splitting field for  $G$ . Let  $\lambda$  denote the linear map  $FG \rightarrow F$ , given by

$$\lambda\left(\sum_{g \in G} a_g g\right) = a_1,$$

for  $\sum_{g \in G} a_g g \in FG$ . The map  $B : FG \times FG \rightarrow F$ ,

$$B(a, b) = \lambda(ab), \quad \text{for } a, b \in FG,$$

is a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form on  $FG$ . In fact  $B$  is *associative* in the sense that

$$B(ab, c) = B(a, bc), \quad \text{for } a, b, c \in FG.$$

If  $V$  is an  $F$ -subspace of  $FG$ , then  $V^\perp$  will denote the dual space

$$V^\perp := \{a \in FG \mid B(a, b) = 0, \text{ for all } b \in V\}.$$

If  $A$  is a subalgebra of  $FG$ , and  $V$  is a right  $A$ -module, then  $V^\perp$  is a left  $A$ -module. Let  $K := Z^\perp$ , where  $Z$  is the centre of  $FG$ . Then by the above remarks,  $K$  is a  $Z$ -submodule of  $FG$ . It is clear that

$$(1) \quad K = \left\{ \sum_{g \in G} a_g g \mid \sum_{g \in \mathcal{K}} a_g = 0, \text{ for all conjugacy classes } \mathcal{K} \text{ of } G \right\},$$

as the class sums  $\mathcal{K}^+ := \sum_{g \in \mathcal{K}} g$  form an  $F$ -basis for  $Z$ . The following lemma is due to R. Brauer [B56]:

**Lemma 2.**

$$\begin{aligned} a \in K &\implies a^p \in K, \\ (a + b)^p &\equiv a^p + b^p \pmod{K}, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $a, b \in FG$ .

Set

$$N := \begin{cases} 4, & \text{if } p = 2, \\ p, & \text{if } p \text{ is an odd prime,} \end{cases}$$

and define

$$T := \{x \in FG \mid x^N \in K\}.$$

---

*Date:* Submitted February 1, Revised March 14, 2000.  
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20C20.

Then Lemma 2 implies that  $T$  is a subspace of  $FG$  which contains  $K$ . An easy argument shows that  $T$  is a  $Z$ -submodule of  $FG$ . Since  $T \supseteq K$ , it follows that

$$I := T^\perp$$

is an ideal of  $Z$ .

For each conjugacy class  $\mathcal{K}$ , set

$$\Omega(\mathcal{K}) := \{g \in G \mid g^N \in \mathcal{K}\}.$$

So  $\Omega(\mathcal{K})$  is a union of conjugacy classes of  $G$ . We have the following (see (38) of [K91]):

**Lemma 3.**

$$T = \left\{ \sum_{g \in G} a_g g \mid \sum_{g \in \Omega(\mathcal{K})} a_g = 0, \text{ for all classes } \mathcal{K} \right\}.$$

Thus  $\{\Omega(\mathcal{K})^+ \mid \mathcal{K} \text{ a conjugacy class of } G, \Omega(\mathcal{K}) \neq \phi\}$  forms a basis for  $I$ .

*Proof.* Say  $a = \sum_{g \in G} a_g g \in FG$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} a \in T &\iff \left( \sum_{g \in G} a_g g \right)^N \in K, \text{ by definition of } T \\ &\iff \sum_{g \in G} a_g^N g^N \in K, \text{ using Lemma 2} \\ &\iff \sum_{g^N \in \mathcal{K}} a_g^N = 0, \text{ for all classes } \mathcal{K}, \text{ by (1)} \\ &\iff \sum_{g \in \Omega(\mathcal{K})} a_g = 0, \text{ for all classes } \mathcal{K}, \text{ as } F \text{ has characteristic } p. \end{aligned}$$

The last statement now follows from the first.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.** Let  $z \in Z$  and suppose that  $z^N = 0$ . Then  $Iz = zI = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $i \in I$  and  $x \in FG$ . It follows from the hypothesis that  $zx \in T$ . Thus  $B(iz, x) = B(i, zx) = 0$ . Since  $x \in FG$  was arbitrary, the nondegeneracy of  $\lambda$  implies that  $iz = 0$ .  $\square$

Let  $Z_0$  denote the  $F$ -subspace of  $Z$  spanned by the class sums of  $p$ -defect zero, and let  $E_0$  denote the  $F$ -subspace of  $Z_0$  spanned by the block idempotents of defect zero. Then  $Z_0$  is an ideal of  $Z$ , using an argument due to R. Brauer. Moreover Iizuka and Watanabe [IW73] have shown that

$$(5) \quad (Z_0)^2 = E_0,$$

and

$$(6) \quad Z_0 J(FG) = 0,$$

where  $J(FG)$  is the Jacobson radical of  $FG$ . See (1.E) of [O80] also. A proof of the following result was indicated in [M99]:

**Lemma 7.**  $I^2 \subseteq Z_0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  be classes of  $G$ . The coefficient of  $\mathcal{M}^+$  in  $\Omega(\mathcal{K})^+ \Omega(\mathcal{L})^+$  is given as the cardinality, modulo  $p$ , of the set

$$\Phi(\mathcal{M}) := \{(k, l) \in \Omega(\mathcal{K}) \times \Omega(\mathcal{L}) \mid kl = m\},$$

where  $m$  is a fixed element of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Let  $D$  be a defect group of  $m$ . Then  $D$  acts by conjugation on the pairs in  $\Phi(\mathcal{M})$ . So  $|\Phi(\mathcal{M})| \equiv |\Phi_D(\mathcal{M})| \pmod{p}$ , where

$$\Phi_D(\mathcal{M}) := \{(k, l) \in (C(D) \cap \Omega(\mathcal{K})) \times (C(D) \cap \Omega(\mathcal{L})) \mid kl = m\}.$$

Now let  $\Omega(Z(D))$  be the subgroup of  $Z(D)$  consisting of all  $z \in Z(D)$  such that  $z^N = 1$ . Then  $\Omega(Z(D))$  acts freely on  $\Phi_D(\mathcal{M})$  via

$$(k, l) \rightarrow (kz, z^{-1}l), \quad \text{for } (k, l) \in \Phi_D(\mathcal{K}), \text{ and } z \in \Omega(Z(D)).$$

It follows that  $|\Phi_D(\mathcal{M})| \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  unless  $\Omega(Z(D)) = \{1\} \iff D = \{1\}$  i.e. unless  $\mathcal{M}$  has  $p$ -defect zero. The lemma follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 8.**  $I(I \cap J(FG)) = 0$  and hence  $(I \cap J(FG))^2 = 0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $j \in I \cap J(FG)$ . Then  $j \in J(FG)$ , as  $I \subseteq Z$ . Also  $j^2 \in Z_0$ , by Lemma 7. So  $j^3 = j(j^2) = 0$ , using (6). But then  $j^N = j^{N-3}j^3 = 0$ . Proposition 4 now implies that  $I(I \cap J(FG)) = 0$ . The equality  $(I \cap J(FG))^2 = 0$  follows immediately.  $\square$

We can now prove our main result.

**Theorem 9.**  $I^2 = E_0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $E$  denote the  $F$ -subspace of  $Z$  spanned by the block idempotents. Then

$$Z = E \oplus J,$$

as  $F$ -algebras, where  $J = Z \cap J(FG)$  is the Jacobson radical of  $Z$ . Now  $J$  is nil and the map  $x \rightarrow x^p$  is an automorphism of  $F$ . It follows that there exists  $m \geq 0$  such that  $e^{p^m} = e$  and  $j^{p^m} = 0$ , for all  $e \in E$  and  $j \in J$ .

If  $i_1, i_2 \in I$ , write

$$i_k = e_k + j_k, \quad (k = 1, 2),$$

where  $e_k \in E$  and  $j_k \in J$ . Then  $e_k = e_k^{p^m} + j_k^{p^m} = i_k^{p^m} \in I$ . It follows that  $e_k \in I$  and  $j_k \in I \cap J(FG)$ . So

$$i_1 i_2 = e_1 e_2 + e_1 j_2 + j_1 e_2 + j_1 j_2 = e_1 e_2,$$

using Corollary 8. Thus  $I^2 \subseteq E \cap Z_0 = E_0$ , using Lemma 7.

The opposite inequality  $I^2 \supseteq E_0$  follows from  $I \supseteq Z_0$  and (5).  $\square$

We also have:

**Proposition 10.** Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a  $p$ -singular class of  $G$ . Then  $\Omega(\mathcal{K})^+ \in J(FG)$ . In particular,  $\Omega(\mathcal{K})^+ \Omega(\mathcal{L})^+ = 0$ , for each class  $\mathcal{L}$  of  $G$ .

*Proof.* Let  $B$  be a  $p$ -block of  $G$ , with associated central character  $\omega$ . If  $B$  has positive defect, then  $\omega((\Omega(\mathcal{K})^+)^2) = 0$ , using Lemma 7, and so  $\omega(\Omega(\mathcal{K})^+) = 0$ . On the other hand, if  $B$  has defect zero, then  $\omega(\Omega(\mathcal{K})^+) = 0$ , as  $\Omega(\mathcal{K})$  is a union of  $p$ -singular classes. We deduce that  $\Omega(\mathcal{K})^+ \in J(FG)$ . The last statement now follows from Corollary 8.  $\square$

If  $g \in G$ , we may write  $g = g_p g_{p'} = g_{p'} g_p$ , for a unique  $p$ -element  $g_p$  and a unique  $p$ -regular element  $g_{p'}$ . We call  $g_p$  the  $p$ -part of  $g$  and  $g_{p'}$  the  $p$ -regular part of  $g$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a  $p$ -regular class of  $G$ . The  $p$ -regular section  $S(\mathcal{K})$  of  $G$  which contains  $\mathcal{K}$  is defined as

$$S(\mathcal{K}) := \{g \in G \mid g_{p'} \in \mathcal{K}\}.$$

Setting  $\mathcal{L}^N = \{g^N \mid g \in \mathcal{L}\}$ , for each class  $\mathcal{L}$  of  $G$ , we note that

$$S(\mathcal{K}) = \bigcup_{\mathcal{L} \subset S(\mathcal{K})} \Omega(\mathcal{L}^N).$$

The  $p$ -regular section sums  $S(\mathcal{K})^+$  span an ideal  $R$  of  $Z$ , known as *Reynolds Ideal*. We have the following chain of ideals of  $Z$ :

$$E_0 \subseteq Z_0 \subseteq R \subseteq I.$$

Now  $R = J(FG)^\perp \cap Z$ , by (39) of [K91]. It follows easily that

$$R^2 = E_0.$$

So Theorem 9 is an improvement on this fact.

**Corollary 11.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L}$  are  $p$ -regular classes of  $G$ . Then*

$$S(\mathcal{K})^+ S(\mathcal{L})^+ = \Omega(\mathcal{K}^N)^+ \Omega(\mathcal{L}^N)^+.$$

*Proof.* This follows from Proposition 10, and the fact that  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  are the only  $p$ -regular classes in  $S(\mathcal{K})$  and  $S(\mathcal{L})$ , respectively.  $\square$

The following extends results in [IW73] and [M99]:

**Corollary 12.**  *$G$  has a  $p$ -block of defect zero if and only if there exists  $p$ -regular classes  $\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L}$  of  $G$  such that  $\Omega(\mathcal{K})^+ \Omega(\mathcal{L})^+ \neq 0$ , i.e. there exists  $g \in G$  (necessarily of  $p$ -defect zero) such that the cardinality of the set*

$$\{(x, y) \in G \times G \mid x^N \in \mathcal{K}, y^N \in \mathcal{L}, xy = g\}$$

*is nonzero modulo  $p$ .*

We now give some examples in the exceptional case where  $p = 2$  and  $F$  has characteristic 2. Set

$$T_1 := \{x \in FG \mid x^2 \in K\}.$$

Then

$$I_1 := T_1^\perp$$

is an ideal of  $Z$ , and has as  $F$ -basis  $\{\Omega_1(\mathcal{K})^+\}$ , where  $\mathcal{K}$  ranges over the conjugacy classes of  $G$ , and

$$\Omega_1(\mathcal{K}) := \{g \in G \mid g^2 \in \mathcal{K}\}.$$

Although  $E_0 \subseteq I_1^2 \subseteq Z_0$ , and our results can be extended to show that  $E_0 = I_1^3$ , it is not generally true that  $E_0 = (I_1)^2$ . For instance, if  $G = \mathfrak{S}_7$ , the symmetric group on 7-symbols, then  $0 = E_0 \subset I_1^2 = Z_0$ , while if  $G = M_{23}$ , the Mathieu group of degree 23, then  $0 \subset E_0 \subset I_1^2 \subset Z_0$ . On the other hand, if  $G = \mathfrak{S}_3$ , then  $E_0 = I_1^2 = Z_0$ .

Let  $\mathcal{R}$  denote the set of elements of  $G$  which have 2-defect zero and which are conjugate to their inverses. We showed in [M99] that

$$(\Omega_1(1_G)^+)^2 = \mathcal{R}^+.$$

It follows that  $\mathcal{R}^+ Z \subseteq I_1^2$ . We have not found an example where  $\mathcal{R}^+ Z \neq I_1^2$ .

## REFERENCES

- [B56] Richard Brauer, *Zur Darstellungstheorie der Gruppen endlicher Ordnung* Math. Zeit. 63 (1956), 406–444.
- [IW73] K. Iizuka, A. Watanabe, *On the number of blocks of irreducible characters of a finite group with a given defect group*, Kumamoto J. Sci. (Math.) 9 (1973), 55–61.
- [K91] Burkhard Külshammer, *Group-theoretical descriptions of ring-theoretical invariants of group algebras*, Progress in Math. 95 (1991), 425–442.
- [M99] John Murray, *Blocks of defect zero and products of elements of order  $p$* , J. Algebra 214 (1999), 385–399.
- [O80] T. Okuyama, *Some studies on group algebras*, Hokkaido Mathematical J. 9 (1980), 217–221.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, UNIVERSITY COLLEGE DUBLIN, BELFIELD, DUBLIN 4, IRELAND  
*E-mail address:* `jcmurray@eircom.ie`